

ON Q^2 -DEPENDENCE OF THE SUM RULES FOR $g_1(x, Q^2)$

B. L. Ioffe

ITEP

117259 Moscow, USSR

I wish to make a remark about Q^2 -dependence of the function $G_1^p(x, Q^2) = (m^2/\nu) \cdot g_1^p(x, Q^2)$ in the region of intermediate Q^2 . At intermediate $Q^2 \approx 1-3 \text{ GeV}^2$, the integral $\int (d\nu/\nu) G_1^p(\nu, Q^2)$ is dominated by the isobar $\Delta(1232)$ contribution. Let us consider the Q^2 -dependence of isobar electroproduction. As can be shown from the chiral invariance^{1,2} at large Q^2 , the isobar electroproduction cross section is dominated by $\sigma_{1/2}$ ($\sigma_{1/2} \gg \sigma_{3/2}$) where $\sigma_{1/2}$ and $\sigma_{3/2}$ are the cross sections corresponding to projections 1/2 and 3/2 of the total photon-nucleon spin upon the photon momentum direction in the virtual photon-proton c.m.s.). Therefore the asymmetry $A_1^p = (\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2})/2\sigma_T$ is positive and $G_1^p > 0$. However of the values of Q^2 which are presently accessible to experiment ($Q^2 < 3 \text{ GeV}^2$), the situation is reversed, $\sigma_{1/2} \ll \sigma_{3/2}$ and $A_1^p < 0$, $G_1^p < 0$ (magnetic dipole transition is dominating). This fact may explain, at least partly, why we expect the change of sign in the sum rule $\int (d\nu/\nu) G_1^p(\nu, Q^2)$, when we go from large Q^2 to $Q^2 = 0$.^{1,2,3} It is necessary to remark that according to isospin invariance, the isobar contributions cancel in the difference $G_1^p - G_1^n$. This may be the reason why Bjorken sum rule permits a smooth extrapolation from large Q^2 to $Q^2 = 0$.

References

1. B. L. Ioffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63B**, 425 (1976).
2. B. L. Ioffe, V. A. Khoze, L. N. Lipatov, Hard Processes, Vol. I, North Holland, Amsterdam 1984, p. 62, 255.
3. V. M. Belyaev, B. L. Ioffe, Ya. I. Kogan, Phys. Lett. **151B**, 290 (1985).

